



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/368,422 | 08/05/1999 | JAMES R. MYERS | JRM0001 | 5509 |
| 27510 | 7590 01/27/2005 | | EXAM | INER |
| KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP 607 14TH STREET, N.W. | | | FELTEN, I | DANIEL S |
| | ON, DC 20005 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3624 | |
| | | | DATE MAIL ED. 01/27/200 | • |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|--|

Office Action Summary

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| 09/368,422 | MYERS, JAMES R. |
| Examiner | Art Unit |
| Daniel S Felten | 3624 |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -- Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE $\underline{3}$ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.

| If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will app Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, caus Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. the the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Octob | <u>er 2004</u> . | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This acti | on is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance | except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa | arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn fi | rom consideration. | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ele | ction requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepte | d or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the draw | ring(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is | s required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d) | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami | ner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign prior | rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents ha | ve been received. | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | |
| | documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (Po | * ** | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the | ie certified copies not received. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/368,422

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed October 21, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant has asserted that a prima facie case has not been made for the customer being a supplier. The applicant is respectfully reminded that references in determining obviousness are not read in isolation, but for what they fairly teach in combination with the prior art as a whole. Again it should be noted that references are evaluated by what they **suggest** to one of ordinary skill in the art rather than their specific disclosure [see In re bozek, 163 USPQ 545 (CCPA 1969)]. In this case, the Andersen generally teaches that an evaluation is made by a manufacturing company using CSI to determine how profitable and/or effective a dealership is. In the 35 USC § 103(a) rejection made on October 18, 2001, the Office Action set forth reasoning to establish a prima facie case that it was reasonable for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the definition of customer/borrower in Andersen's system to include suppliers considering the fact that Andersen discloses a Customer Service Index used by suppliers (manufacturers) to evaluate other "types" of suppliers (dealers) (see col. 6, II. 51-61) and that an artisan of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the purchase of a large quantity of items (vehicles) would greatly increase the amount of profits found in the system within a shorter period of time. Thus to broaden the definition of customer/borrow to include supplier would greatly increase the use of Andersen and thus have been an obvious expedient, well within the ordinary skill in the art.

Application/Control Number: 09/368,422

Art Unit: 3624

Conclusion

2. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel S Felten whose telephone number is (703) 305-0724. The examiner can normally be reached on Flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (703) 308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/368,422

Art Unit: 3624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel S Felten Examiner Art Unit 3624

DSF

January 07, 2005

VINCENT MILLIN
AND PATENT EXAMINER
AND OGY CENTER 3600

VINCENT MILLIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Vines Mille